

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

Brian Garrett, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

The Ohio State University

Defendant.

Case No. 2:18-cv-692

Judge Michael H. Watson

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

Steve Snyder-Hill, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

The Ohio State University

Defendant.

Case No. 2:18-cv-736

Judge Michael H. Watson

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

Nicholas Nutter, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

The Ohio State University

Defendant.

Case No. 2:19-cv-2462

Judge Michael H. Watson

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

Rocky Ratliff,

Plaintiff,

v.

The Ohio State University

Defendant.

Case No. 2:19-cv-4746

Judge Michael H. Watson

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

John Does 151-161, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

The Ohio State University

Defendant.

Case No. 2:20-cv-3817

Judge Michael H. Watson

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

John Does 172-191, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

The Ohio State University

Defendant.

Case No. 2:21-cv-2121

Judge Michael H. Watson

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

John Does 192-217,

Plaintiffs,

v.

The Ohio State University

Defendant.

Case No. 2:21-cv-2527

Judge Michael H. Watson

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

Michael Alf, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

The Ohio State University

Defendant.

Case No. 2:21-cv-2542

Judge Michael H. Watson

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

Michael Canales, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

The Ohio State University

Defendant.

Case No. 2:21-cv-2562

Judge Michael H. Watson

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

Timothy Moxley, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

The Ohio State University

Defendant.

Case No. 2:21-cv-3838

Judge Michael H. Watson

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

John Doe,

Plaintiff,

v.

The Ohio State University

Defendant.

Case No. 2:23-cv-1048

Judge Michael H. Watson

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

ORDER

Pursuant to the Court's prior Opinion and Order, ECF No. 212, the Court

ORDERS the following:

- Each Plaintiff's attorney group is **ORDERED** to file, within twenty-one days of the date of this Order, a Complaint in a new case that consolidates the claims of each plaintiff that attorney group currently represents. The Complaint shall not include any plaintiffs who are not currently named in an active case, nor shall it include any claim or theory not previously identified in the joint notice (Sharp; Public Justice; Bianco) or supplement thereto (Wright & Schulte; Ratliff). The civil cover sheet for each new Complaint shall state that the case is to be directly assigned to the docket of the Undersigned Judges per court order. The filing fee is **WAIVED**;
- If a Plaintiff attorney group wishes to add additional *plaintiffs, claims, or theories of liability* to an existing Complaint, the parties shall move for leave to amend the Complaint.
- The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to assign the five to-be-filed, consolidated actions directly to the dockets of the Undersigned Judges, as the new cases are related to pending actions;
- Defendant is **ORDERED** to file its responsive pleading to each Complaint within **TWENTY-ONE DAYS** of the Complaint's filing.
- No Plaintiff attorney group shall move for Rule 23 class certification until Magistrate Judge Deavers sets a deadline for such a motion. It is the Court's intention that significant discovery occur prior to briefing the propriety of class certification;
- Discovery shall be **COORDINATED** among the five to-be-filed cases in a manner directed by Magistrate Judge Deavers.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



**MICHAEL H. WATSON, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**



**ELIZABETH A. PRESTON DEAVERS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**